

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel,)
W.A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his)
capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL)
OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,)
et al.)
Plaintiffs,)
V.) No. 05-CV-329-GKF-SAJ
TYSON FOODS, INC., et al.,)
Defendants.)

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
FEBRUARY 19, 2008
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION HEARING
VOLUME I

BEFORE THE HONORABLE GREGORY K. FRIZZELL, Judge

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiffs: Mr. Drew Edmondson
Attorney General
Mr. Robert Nance
Mr. Daniel Lennington
Ms. Kelly Hunter Burch
Mr. Trevor Hammons
Assistant Attorneys General
313 N.E. 21st Street
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105

Exhibit 58

Glen R. Dorrough
UNITED STATES COURT REPORTER

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED)

For the Cargill Mr. Delmar R. Ehrich
Defendants: Mr. Bruce Jones
 Faegre & Benson
 90 South 7th Street, Suite 2200
 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

For the Defendant Mr. John Elrod
Simmons Foods: Ms. Vicki Bronson
 Conner & Winters
 Attorneys at Law
 211 East Dickson Street
 Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701

For the Defendant Mr. A. Scott McDaniel
Peterson Farms: Mr. Philip Hixon
 Ms. Nicole Longwell
 McDaniel Hixon Longwell & Acord PLLC
 320 South Boston, Suite 700
 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103

For the George's Mr. Woodson Bassett
Defendants: Mr. James M. Graves
 Mr. Paul E. Thompson
 The Bassett Law Firm
 Post Office Box 3618
 Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701

For the Cal-Maine Mr. Robert F. Sanders
Defendants: Young Williams P.A.
 P. O. Box 23059
 Jackson, Mississippi 39225

- - - - -

CONTENTS

Page No.

OPENING STATEMENTS:

By Mr. Edmondson..... 30

By Mr. Ryan..... 42

WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFFS:

CANON MILES TOLBERT:

Direct Examination by Mr. Edmondson..... 65

1 being overapplied and are needed for plant growth.

2 THE COURT: Well, but here they're focusing on E. coli
3 and bacteria, not on phosphorus; correct?

4 MR. RYAN: I'm sorry, Your Honor?

5 THE COURT: In this proceeding are they not focusing
6 on bacteria as opposed to phosphorus?

7 MR. RYAN: Yes, Your Honor. No, that's absolutely
8 right, but we're talking about what the land needs and what's
9 being overapplied.

10 THE COURT: Right, right.

11 MR. RYAN: I think their argument only goes to the
12 phosphorus, to the one element of phosphorus. It does not
13 address the other twelve elements which I say are needed for
14 plant growth and are beneficial to the crops and plants and
15 pastures and forage. And I don't think there's any question
16 but that there has been an overapplication of litter on some or
17 many farms. That's not an issue in our book. I'm certainly
18 not arguing that in terms of phosphorus.

19 Your Honor, these are the defendants, there's 13 of
20 them. They're in seven, if you will, if you disregard
21 affiliated companies, there's seven companies. The plaintiffs
22 want to treat us as if we were one homogenous group. And if
23 they can show that the defendants, plural, apply bacteria
24 somehow to the waterways and that makes all the defendants
25 liable. These defendants are competitors of one another, Your